

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,251	10/22/2001	Daniel J. Kump	FFR 2 0168-3	1666
759	90 06/18/2003			
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP Suite 700 1100 Superior Ave. Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			EXAMINER	
			CHAN, KO HUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		J					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
An Andian Communication	10/083,251	KUMP ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Korie H. Chan	3632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/1/	<u> 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10,14-16 and 26-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13,17-25,29,30</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31 and 32</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
,							

Application/Control Number: 10/083,251

Art Unit: 3632

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of figure 8A in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1=10, 14-16, 26-28 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Debner et al (2,942,314). Debner discloses a hanger comprising a rectangular support body (11), first arm with first protrusion (16, fig. 1) adjacent a corner of support body, second arm with second protrusion (12) adjacent opposite corner of support body, a third protrusion (18) extending centrally and across the support body and located between the first and second arms and transverse the longitudinal axis of the support body.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,251

Art Unit: 3632

Applicant's intended use "a banner hanger" treated as "hanger for a banner" is not accorded with patentable weight. Debner's device is inherently capable of supporting a banner.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Condon (5,230,488). Condon discloses a hanger comprising a support body (20), first arm with first protrusion (32) and second arm with second protrusion (34) on opposite side of a longitudinal axis of support body, first and second flange (22 and 26a) capable of supporting an object (such as adhesively attached for example) lying in the same plane which is aligned with the longitudinal axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-25, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Condon (5,230,488) in view of Schmidt (1,662,539). Condon discloses a one piece hanger comprising a support body (20), first arm and first protrusion (free distal end of 32) connected by a neck portion (portion of 32 connecting the free distal end of 32 with the vertically extending arm connect to 20) and second arm and second protrusion (free distal end of 34) connected by a neck portion (see above first neck description) on opposite side of a longitudinal axis of support body, object support flange (22 and 26a) capable of supporting an object, and a plateau (18)

Art Unit: 3632

between first and second arms. However, Condon does not disclose the protrusions is a tapered wall. Schmidt teaches a hanger with a support body and first and second arms with tapered wall (28) for adapting to the slope of the lower flange of the overhead beam (22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Condon's protrusions such that they are tapered walls as taught by Schmidt for adapting to the slope of the lower flange of the overhead beam.

Claims 31 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of record demonstrate various hangers of similar type.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-8079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Les Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Korie H. Chan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

khc June 16, 2003